## GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH ABSTRACT

## REVENUE (VIGILACNE-III) DEPARTMENT

## G.O.Rt.No. 901

Dated:11-6-2012 Read the following:

- 1. From the CCLA, Lr.No.Spl. X2/1398/2000, dt: 6.9.2000
- 2. From Smt. K. Lalitha, DC (Retd) representation, Dt: 18.9.2001
- 3. From Spl. CS & CCLA, Hyd. No. VSI (4)/1398/2000,Dt: 31.7.2001
- 4. From J.C., and E.O., Nalgond Lr.No.A1/13492/2000,Dt: 11.2.2002
- 5. From Spl. C.S & CCLA, Ref. No.VS I(4)/1398/2000, Dt: 2.12.2002
- 6. From the CCLA, Ref.No.VS.I(4)/1398/2000, dt: 4.10.2002
- 7. G.O.Ms.No.809, Rev (Ser.I) Dept. dt 21-7-2003
- 8. G.O.Ms.No.1253, Rev. (Ser.I) Dept., dt: 8.12.2003
- 9. Hon'ble APAT orders dt: 14.9.2005 O.A.No.8404/03 & O.A.No.2740/4
- 10. G.O.Ms.No.768, Rev. Dept., dt: 23.6.2008
- 11. G.O.Rt.No.1466, Rev. Dept., dated: 16-7-2008
- 12. From Jt. Collector and E.O, Nalgonda Lr.No.A1/18622, Dt: 28.3.2011
- 13. Govt. Memo 367/Vig.III (2)/2006, dated: 21.4.2011
- 14. From Smt. K. Lalitha, Dy. Collector (Retd) repn. dated 30.8.2011 & another repn. dated 18-7-2011
- 15. Govt. Memo No. 367/Vig.III(2)/2006, dt: 12-10-2011
- 16. From CCLA, Hyd. No. VS I(2)/1398/2000, dt:31-1-2012
- 17. Govt. Letter No. 367/Vig.III(2)/2006, Dated: 2-4-2012
- 18. From the Secretary, APPSC, Hyderabad Lr. No. 785/RT/2/2012, Dt: 28-04-202

# # #

## ORDER:

The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad in the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, has framed charges against Smt. K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector (Retd) on the count that she has demanded Rs. 25,000/- to for submission of proposal for sanction of exgratia and on other similar counts while she was working as Mandal Revenue Officer, Nadigudem (M), Nalgonda District. Smt. K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector (Rtd) in the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, had submitted her written statement of defence to the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad denying the charges. After examining the same, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad in the ref. 3<sup>rd</sup> read above has appointed Joint Collector Nalgonda as Enquiry Officer to conduct enquiry into the charges framed against the charged officer.

2. The Joint Collector, Nalgonda district and Enquiry Officer after conducting enquiry, in the reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above, has submitted his enquiry report holding that charge Nos. 1,3 & 4 are proved and the Charge Nos. 2,5 &6 as not proved against the charged officer. After examining the Enquiry Report, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad had provisionally decided to impose a punishment of reduction in rank to lower time scale of pay as per rule 9 (vii) of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 for the charges held proved and accordingly a show cause notice was issued to Smt. K. Lalitha Deputy Collector (Retd) vide reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above. The Charged Officer has submitted her explanation to the Show Cause Notice requesting to drop further action against. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad after examining the same, has confirmed the provisional decision for reduction in rank to lower time scale of pay and accordingly orders were issued in the ref. 6<sup>th</sup> read above. In the meantime, vide ref. 7<sup>th</sup> read above, Smt. K. Lalitha, has been promoted as Deputy Collector on adhoc basis as per the orders of A.P. Administrative Tribunal in O.A.No.63/2003 dated: 9-1-2003 without prejudice to the disciplinary case pending against her. Consequent on the imposition of penalty of reduction in rank to lower time scale of pay on her by the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad, the Government have examined the matter and decided to cancel the orders issued promoting her to the category of Deputy Collector and accordingly orders were issued in the G.O  $8^{th}$  read above to enable the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad to implement the penalty imposed on her. Aggrieved by the same she had filed O.A.No. 8406/2003 and OA No.2704/2004 before the A.P. Administrative Tribunal challenging the Government orders to revert her as Mandal

Revenue Officer and also the final orders passed by the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad imposing the penalty. The Hon'ble Tribunal in the ref. 9<sup>th</sup> read above, had made a common order on 14.9.2005 in the O.A Nos. 8406/2003 & 2704/2004 and set aside the orders issued imposing penalty on Smt. K. Lalitha and also reverting her to Mandal Revenue Officer and finally directed the respondent to reexamine the issue and take further action for conducting a fresh enquiry against the applicant i.e. Smt. K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector (Retd).

- 3. Government, after careful examination of the matter, have accorded sanction under rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 to continue departmental proceedings against Smt. K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector (Retd) in the G.O  $10^{\rm th}$  read above since the individual retired from service on 31-8-2004. Accordingly Government in the G.O  $11^{\rm th}$  read above, have appointed Joint Collector, Nalgonda as Enquiry Officer to conduct inquiry into the charges framed against the charged officer.
- 4. The Joint Collector, Nalgonda district & Enquiry Officer after conducting inquiry in reference 12<sup>th</sup> read above, has submitted enquiry report holding that the charge Nos. 1,2,5 & 6 are not proved and 3 & 4 are proved. Government, after careful examination of the findings of the enquiry Officer, have provisionally decided to impose a punishment of 10% cut in pension for a period of (2) years on Smt K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector (Retd) for the charges held proved. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to her vide reference 13th read above, duly calling for her explanation. In the ref. 14<sup>th</sup> read above, the charged officer had submitted her representation stating that the assignment was granted after due procedure and satisfying all the Government rules and therefore, requested the Government to drop further action against her. After careful examination of the representation of the Charged officer, the Government have observed that there are no new grounds in the representation submitted by the charged officer and therefore, decided to impose a penalty of 5% cut in pension for a period of (2) years on her under A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 for the charges held proved against her in modification of the provisional decision. Accordingly, in the reference 17<sup>th</sup> read above Government have sought for the concurrence of the A. P. Public Service Commission. The A.P. Public Service Commission in the ref. 18<sup>th</sup> read above, have agreed with the proposal of the Govt. to impose a penalty of a 5% cut in pension for a period of (2) year on the charged officer.
- 5. Government, after careful examination of the matter, have decided to confirm the provisional decision i.e., to impose a penalty of a 5% cut in pension for a period (2) years on the charged officer. Accordingly, the penalty of a 5% cut in pension for a period of (2) years is hereby imposed on Smt K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector, (Rtd) for the charges held proved against her. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action accordingly. A copy of the ref. 18<sup>th</sup> read above is enclosed herewith for serving the same on the charged officer.
- 6. The P.F & proforma service particulars of the charged officer received in the ref.  $16^{th}$  read above are hereby returned for safe custody.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

ANIL CHANDRA PUNETHA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration
A.P. Hyderabad (w.e)
Smt. K. Lalitha, Deputy Collector (Rtd)
(Through the CCLA, Hyderabad)
Copy to:
The Secretary,
A.P. Public Service Commission, Nampally
Hyderabad
The Collector, Nalgonda District
The Revenue (Ser.I) Department.
SC/SF

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//